



Ref: 11984-8410135-15

February 22, 2013

Mr. Dean Pratt  
North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board  
5550 Skylane Blvd. Suite A  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

**Re: Water Quality Certification Permit Application Package for the Border Coast Regional Airport Authority Runway Safety Area Improvement Project**

Dear Mr. Pratt,

Enclosed is a Water Quality Certification (401) permit application for the Boarder Coast Regional Airport Authority Runway Safety Area Improvement Project located in Crescent City, California. This package includes the application form with a complete project description, and set of figures as separate appendices. Currently there is a placeholder for the Wetland Mitigation and Monitoring Plan at Pacific Shores Subdivision (PSS) appendix which will be delivered upon completion.

The primary objective of the project is to meet the requirements of Public Law No. 109-115 which requires that airport sponsors that own or operate commercial airports certificated under 49 United States Code 4706 ensure that their RSAs meet current Federal Aviation Administration design standards by December 31, 2015.

Please keep in mind, the 70% RSA Civil plans and specifications will be completed with conformance regarding the stormwater facilities plan and environmental compliance design features and submitted upon completion. The 50% Pacific Shores and Pt. St. George habitat Mitigation plans are under preparation and will be submitted upon completion. The following items are under preparation and will be submitted upon completion:

- PSS well monitoring data
- EIR Addendum
- Hydrologic and Hydraulic Modeling and Development of BMPs
- Draft Stormwater Facilities Plan
- Wetland Delineation at PSS
- Basis of Design Report for Mitigation Area
- Habitat Mapping of Proposed Mitigation Area
- Biological Assessment
- Biological Survey of Mitigation Area at PSS
- Cultural Resources Survey of Mitigation Area at PSS
- Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and Erosion Control (both RSA Civil and PSS mitigation)
- Revegetation Planting Plan

In the meantime, we appreciate your review of this permit application and look forward to your feedback. Please do not hesitate to call me if you have any questions.



Mr. Dean Pratt  
February 22, 2013  
Page 2

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Misha Schwarz', with a long, sweeping underline.

Misha Schwarz  
Project Manager

Cc: Ms. Susan Daugherty, Airport Administrative Assistant



**Water Quality Certification Application**  
**BORDER COAST REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY**

Del Norte County Regional Airport,  
Jack McNamara Field (CEC)

**Runway Safety Area**  
**Improvement Project**

Crescent City, California

February 2013

Project #: 11984-8410135-15





# Contents

1. Application Form..... 1

## Figure Index

Figure 1 Vicinity Map ..... Appendix B  
Figure 2 Existing and Required Runway Safety Areas ..... Appendix B  
Figure 3 Existing Plant Communities & Special-Status Plants..... Appendix B  
Figure 4 Existing Wetland..... Appendix B  
Figure 5 CCC and USACE Wetland Impacts ..... Appendix B  
Figure 6 Impacts Special-Status Plants..... Appendix B  
Figure 7 Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Area Impacts ..... Appendix B  
Figure 8.1 Transect Locations and Types Used to Evaluate Roadway Segment  
Classifications..... Appendix B  
Figure 8.2-8.4 Typical Cross Section Types Used to Evaluate Roadway Segment  
Classifications..... Appendix B  
Figure 9 Point St. George Mitigation Area and Impacts..... Appendix B

## Appendices

- A PROJECT DESCRIPTION
- B FIGURES
- C WETLAND DELINEATION
- D ROADWAY SEGMENT SELECTION METHODOLOGY FOR WETLAND AND HABITAT  
MITIGATION AT PACIFIC SHORES SUBDIVISION FOR PROPOSED RUNWAY  
SAFETY AREA (RSA) IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
- E WETLAND MITIGATION AND MONITORING PLAN





# 1. Application Form

**WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION AND/OR  
WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS (Dredge/Fill Projects)**

**What is it?** A Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification (401 Certification) is an order (findings with a conditional permit) issued by the State Water Resources Control Board and Regional Water Quality Control Boards. Applicants for federal permits that involve dredge or fill activities within waters of the United States (including wetlands) are required to obtain certification from the state. Most of these federal permits are referred to as federal Clean Water Act Section 404 permits issued by the Army Corps of Engineers (Army Corps). Other types of federal license or permits that authorize activities that result or may result in discharges to waters of the United States and are required to obtain state certification include Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) hydropower licenses and Rivers and Harbors Act Section 9 and 10 permits. A 401 Certification is an order certifying that the proposed project will comply with CWA Sections 301 (Effluent Limitation), 302 (Water Quality Related Effluent Limitations), 303 (Water Quality Standards and Implementation Plans), 306 (National Standards of Performance) and 307 (Toxic Pretreatment Effluent Standards), applicable state laws, and will be protective of beneficial uses identified within the regions basin plan. In accordance with section 404(b)(1) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344) and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) the discharge of dredge or fill materials and the design and implementation of any project that requires a 401 Certification shall avoid, minimize, and mitigate impacts to aquatic resources and the environment. Where impacts are determined to be unavoidable mitigation projects are required to compensate for the loss of aquatic resources. Under the California Water Code Section 13260, Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) are necessary for any persons discharging or proposing to discharge waste, including Dredge and/or Fill materials that could affect the quality of the waters of the State. Projects that receive a 401 Certification are also granted general WDRs.

**Who Needs It?** Anyone proposing to conduct a project that requires a federal permit or may result in a discharge to waters of the United States and/or waters of the State, including wetlands (all types), rivers, streams (including perennial, intermittent, and ephemeral streams) lakes, estuaries, harbors, bays, and the Pacific Ocean.



CALIFORNIA

**Water Boards**

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD  
REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARDS

- 1 -

**How do you get it?** Submit a complete application requesting Water Quality Certification /Waste Discharge Requirements application packet to:

*North Coast Regional Water  
Quality Control Board  
5550 Skylane Blvd., Suite A  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403  
(707) 576-2220*

**What happens to your application?** Your application is reviewed, staff determine if it is complete, and you will be contacted within 30 days of submittal if the application is found to be incomplete. Staff will then continue the review process and be available to answer any questions you may have.



## Application for 401 Water Quality Certification and/or Waste Discharge Requirements (Dredge/Fill)

The following application must be submitted to the Regional Water Quality Control Board for dredge/fill projects that require Water Quality Certification and/or Waste Discharge Requirements. Submit this application and the appropriate documentation\*, along with a check payable to the State Water Resources Control Board, for \$944.00 (Current Base Fee) plus additional fees if applicable, as required according to the CCR 23 Section 2200 (a)(2) Fee Schedule\*\* to:

**North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board**  
**5550 Skylane Blvd., Suite A**  
**Santa Rosa, CA 95403**  
 (Make checks payable to: State Water Resources Control Board)

\*Clarification of information may be requested by Regional Water Quality staff during application review.  
 \*\*Additional fees may be imposed upon application review.  
 Fee calculator and additional information are available at [http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/water\\_issues/programs/water\\_quality\\_certification.shtml](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/water_issues/programs/water_quality_certification.shtml)  
 Fees are subject to change. Please check current fee schedule.

For Internal Office Use Only		
WDID#	Check #	\$

### SECTION ONE – Applicant Information & Agent Authorization

Important Note! The applicant listed shall be the party responsible for compliance with the Clean Water Act, California Water Code, Basin Plan, and 401 Certification Conditions and is typically the property/facility owner. The authorized agent is the individual or team that is authorized to provide information to the Regional Water Board on behalf of the application (responsible party).

<b>APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER(S) NAME</b> <b>Mr. James Bernard, Airport Director</b> <b>Border Coast Regional Airport Authority (Applicant)</b>	<b>AUTHORIZED AGENT NAME AND TITLE (an agent is not required)</b> <b>Mr. Misha Schwarz, Project Manager</b> <b>GHD INC.</b>
<b>APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER(S) MAILING ADDRESS</b> <b>150 Dale Rupert Road,</b> <b>Crescent City, California 95531</b>	<b>AUTHORIZED AGENT MAILING ADDRESS</b> <b>718 3<sup>rd</sup> Street</b> <b>Eureka, California 95501</b>
<b>APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER(S) PHONE &amp; FAX NUMBERS</b> <b>Phone: (707) 464-7288</b> <b>Fax: (707) 464-1023</b>	<b>AUTHORIZED AGENT PHONE &amp; FAX NUMBERS</b> <b>Phone: (707) 443-8326</b> <b>Fax: (707) 444-8330</b>
<b>APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER(S) EMAIL</b> <b>JBernard@co.del-norte.ca.us</b>	<b>AUTHORIZED AGENT EMAIL</b> <b>misha.schwarz@ghd.com</b>

**STATEMENT OF AUTHORIZATION (Required when applicant is designating an authorized agent)**

I hereby authorize Mr. Misha Schwarz, GHD Project Manager to act on my behalf as my agent in the processing of this application and to furnish, upon request, supplemental information in support of this permit application.  
Signature of Applicant or agent is also required on page 11.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Mr. James Bernard  
 PRINT NAME OF APPLICANT (NOT THE AUTHORIZED AGENT)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (NOT THE AUTHORIZED AGENT)

*James Bernard*

\_\_\_\_\_  
 DATE

*2/21/2013*

## **SECTION TWO – Project Information**

Please refer to the attached Project Plan Checklist (Attachment A) for guidance and attach additional supporting documentation as necessary. When attaching supporting documentation the pertinent information shall be clearly identified by corresponding tabs, page numbers, etc., such that pertinent information is easily located. Please do not indicate “see attached” without identifying the attached document and the specific location within the document. Supplying detailed information will aid the review process; however, a complete application for water quality certification need not contain unnecessarily duplicative information. Applications containing multiple descriptions with conflicting data or other conflicting information will delay processing and may result in denial without prejudice. Including an electronic copy of the required information may reduce the review process time. Required contents of a complete application can found in the California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 23, Section 3855  
 CCR Link - <http://www.calregs.com/linkedslice/default.asp?SP=CCR-1000&Action=Welcome>

<b>PROJECT NAME OR TITLE</b> <b>Runway Safety Area (RSA) Improvement Project, Del Norte County Regional Airport, Jack McNamara Field (CEC)</b>		
<b>PROJECT STREET ADDRESS</b> (if applicable) 150 Dale Rupert Road	<b>PROJECT LOCATION</b> (Attach a site location map) <b>COUNTY</b> Del Norte <b>CITY/TOWN (nearest)</b> Crescent City	
<b>CITY/STATE/ZIP (or nearest city/town)</b> Crescent City, California 95531	<b>LATITUDE (Decimal Degrees)</b> Airport: 41.7781	<b>LONGITUDE (Decimal Degrees)</b> -124.2303
<b>ASSESSORS PARCEL NUMBER(S)</b> Airport: 110-010-10, 110-010-17, 110-010-21	<b>SECTION, TOWNSHIP, RANGE, USGS QUADRANGLE MAP (Optional Information)</b> Crescent City 7.5 Minute U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Quadrangle, Township 16 North, Ranges 1 and 2 West, the NE and SE ¼ of Section 13 and SW ¼ 18, Humboldt Meridian (H.B.&M.)	
<b>DIRECTIONS TO THE SITE</b> From US Highway 101 north at Crescent City, California, exit at Washington Boulevard and continue west approximately three miles to Dale Rupert Road (four-way intersection). Turn right on Dale Rupert Road; current terminal is at road terminus. See Vicinity Map located in Appendix B.		
<b>PROJECT PURPOSE AND FINAL GOAL OF ENTIRE ACTIVITY</b> (See Project Planning Checklist -Attachment A for guidance. Attach additional information as necessary)  <b>See Project Description document located in Appendix A. Specifically see the individual project objectives located in Section 1.3 – Project Components.</b>		

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION** See Project Planning Checklist - Attachment A for guidance. Provide a full, technically accurate description of the entire activity and associated environmental impacts. Please do not indicate "see attached" without identifying the attached document and the specific location within the document. Attach additional pages as necessary.

**See Project Description document located in Appendix A., and site figures and maps located in Appendix B.**

<b>PROPOSED START AND END DATES</b> Start: September, 2013 End: 2015	<b>ESTIMATED DURATION</b> 13 Months	Will any project activity take place during the wet season months of October 15 through May 15? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO If YES, please discuss the proposed winterization strategies on Page 6, Avoidance of Indirect Impacts.
--	--	---

**SECTION THREE – Additional Documentation Required (CCR Title 23, Section 3855)**

Provide copies of any final and signed federal, state, and local licenses, permits, and agreements (or copies of the draft documents, if not finalized) that will be required for any construction, operation, maintenance, or other actions associated with the activity. If no final or draft document is available, a list of all remaining agency regulatory approvals being sought shall be included.

<b>FEDERAL PERMIT(S) OR COMPLETED FEDERAL APPLICATIONS</b>		
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Staff Contact Information: Name David Ammerman Ph. # (707) 443-0855 E-mail: david.a.ammerman@usace.army.mil <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Individual Permit <input type="checkbox"/> Nationwide Permit Number _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Reporting or <input type="checkbox"/> Reporting <input type="checkbox"/> Regional General Permit / Number		
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service - Staff Contact Information: Name Gary Falxa Ph. # 707-825-5107 E-mail. Gary_falxa@fws.gov <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biological Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biological Opinion		
U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service - Staff Contact Information: Name _____ Ph. # _____ E-mail _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Opinion		
<b>STATE PERMIT(S) OR COMPLETED STATE APPLICATION</b> (A COPY OF EITHER OF THESE MUST BE SUBMITTED WITH THIS APPLICATION (applied for or approved, i.e. Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement (1600-1608) or Coastal Development Permit) STATE PERMIT TITLE FILE DATE FILE NUMBER California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Notification of Lake or Streambed Alteration (1600) is in progress.		
STATE PERMIT TITLE FILE DATE FILE NUMBER California Coastal Commission, Coastal Development Permit (consolidated with the County of Del Norte) is in progress.		
<b>LOCAL PERMIT(S)</b> (applied for or approved, i.e. grading permit, building permit) PERMIT TITLE FILE DATE FILE NUMBER N/A		

**CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT COMPLIANCE** (The project must comply with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) before a Water Quality Certification Order may be issued unless an exemption pursuant to CEQA is applicable. Although final CEQA documentation is not required for a complete application, the Regional Water Board shall be provided with a completed, approved, and/or certified CEQA documentation prior to issuing a Water Quality Certification Order. In accordance with the Permit Streamlining Act Section 65952 Final action must be taken on a 401 Certification project within (1) 180 days from when the CEQA lead agency approves the project, or (2) 180 days of the date the application was deemed "complete" by the SWRCB/RWQCB; whichever is longer)

**TYPE OF CEQA DOCUMENT** (EIR) (Negative Declaration, Notice of Exemption)

**LEAD AGENCY**

Final Environmental Impact Report

Border Coast Regional Airport Authority

**STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER**

**STATUS** (pending, complete, etc.)

**DATE COMPLETED** (or anticipated date)

# 2009071019

Complete

Original: 12/1/2011 (NOD)

**CUMULATIVE IMPACTS** (List and describe other projects implemented within the past 5 years or planned within the next five years that are related to the proposed project, or that may impact the same watershed. Attach additional pages as necessary.)

PROJECT NAME	DESCRIPTION	DATE IMPLEMENTED/PLANNED
Terminal Replacement Project, Del Norte County Regional Airport/Jack McNamara Field (CEC)	<p>The RSA Improvement Project is to be completed at CEC before the Terminal Replacement project, and the associated mitigation is to be completed at Pacific Shores Subdivision (PSS).</p> <p>This project includes several distinct components including the following: CEC terminal replacement, construction of a new terminal building (approx. 14,000 sq ft), construction of a new aircraft apron area (approx. 92,000 sq ft) and blast wall to be located north of the planned terminal building, updated vehicle parking facilities, construction of new short-term parking lot to be located south of the planned terminal building, improvement of the existing parking lot located to the northeast of the planned aircraft apron, improvements to the airport roadways, construction of a secure loop access road that will connect the existing and planned parking areas, implementation of infrastructure and utility improvements necessary to support the operation of the new terminal building (replace waterline and install new sewer force main), parking lots, and aircraft apron area. In addition to these improvements, of one air ambulance hangar will be relocated to an existing vacant permitted site.</p>	Work is planned to commence on the Terminal Replacement Project in 2015 with completion in 2018.

**SECTION Four – Affected Waters and Mitigation**

Please refer to the provided Project Plan Checklist for guidance and attach additional supporting documentation as necessary. Supplying detailed information will aid in expediting the review process.

WETLAND DELINEATION INFORMATION	
<p>NAME OF PERSON DELINEATING EXTENT OF WETLANDS See Wetland Delineation located in Appendix C</p>	<p>DATE(S) OF WETLAND DELINEATION See Wetland Delineation located in Appendix C</p>
<p>TITLE See Wetland Delineation located in Appendix C</p>	<p>DATE OF WETLAND VERIFICATION BY U.S. ARMY CORPS USACE Jurisdictional Determination included with this application in Appendix C</p>
<p>AFFILIATION See Wetland Delineation located in Appendix C</p>	<p>If a wetland delineation has been verified by the U.S. Army Corps, please submit the verification letter as well as a verified wetland delineation map. If the Corps did not assume jurisdiction over the wetlands present, please submit the denial letter.</p>

**PROJECT HYDROLOGIC INFORMATION**

Receiving Water(s): Smith River

Hydrologic Unit(s): 103.12

Water Body Type(s): **See Wetland Delineation located in Appendix C**

Hydrologic Unit Information can be found at: <http://www.water-programs.com/wqpt.htm>;  
or [http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/water\\_issues/programs/basin\\_plan/083105-bp/03\\_bu.pdf](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/water_issues/programs/basin_plan/083105-bp/03_bu.pdf)

**DESIGNATED BENEFICIAL USES(s)** Please check all that apply.

AGR	E	CUL	-	GWR	-	NAV	E	REC-2	E	WET	-
AQUA	P	EST	-	IND	E	POW	P	SAL	-	WILD	E
ASBS	-	FISH	-	MAR	-	PRO	P	SHELL	-	WQE	-
COLD	E	FLD	-	MIGR	E	RARE	E	SPWN	E		
COMM	E	FRSH	E	MUN	E	REC-1	E	WARM	-		

Beneficial Uses are listed within the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board Basin Plan available at:  
[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/water\\_issues/programs/basin\\_plan/](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/water_issues/programs/basin_plan/)

**POTENTIAL FOR IMPACTS TO THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES**

(Attach all Biological Assessments, Surveys, Formal Consultation Determination letters, and Mitigation Proposals as necessary.)

SPECIES AND/OR HABITAT	BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT (Y/N)	SURVEY CONDUCTED (Y/N)	DATES OF SURVEY CONDUCTED
<b>See Project Description located in Appendix A and Figures in Appendix B</b>	Y	Y	Initial: January 2008 Update: April 14-15, 2010

<b>DREDGE AND FILL INFORMATION</b> (The following must be completed for each action where dredging activities, fill material or other activities (e.g. excavation) will result in disturbance and/or discharge to a wetland or other waterbody. Add rows for multiple types of disturbance within the same waterbody type. Attach additional pages as necessary. Provide maps showing the location of project and of all impacts with the corresponding impacts in the format below. Provide all temporary and permanent impacts to waters of the U.S. and waters of the State.)					
<b>TYPE OF WATERBODY</b> (i.e. stream, wetland, ephemeral drainage)	<b>FILL and/or EXCAVATION VOLUME AND TYPE</b> (CUBIC YARDS)	<b>FILL and/or EXCAVATION SURFACE AREA</b> (SQUARE FEET OR ACRE)	<b>FILL and/or EXCAVATION LENGTH</b> (LINEAR FEET)	<b>DREDGE VOLUME</b> (CUBIC YARDS)	<b>TYPE OF IMPACT</b> (Temporary or Permanent)
<b>Waters of the U.S</b>					
✓ Wetland RSA	<i>52,502 cubic yards</i>	<i>16.7 acres</i>		<i>N/A</i>	<i>Permanent</i>
✓ Ag Road	<i>13 cubic yards</i>	<i>0.008 acres</i>		<i>N/A</i>	<i>Permanent</i>
✓ Wetlands RSA	<i>N/A</i>	<i>1.6 acres</i>		<i>N/A</i>	<i>Temporary</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> Streambed (OHWM and below)					
<input type="checkbox"/> Lake/Reservoir					
<input type="checkbox"/> Ocean/Estuary/Bay					
<b>Sub-total Waters of the U.S.</b>					
<b>Waters of the State</b>					
<input type="checkbox"/> Riparian					
<input type="checkbox"/> Stream channel/bank (Above OHWM)					
<input type="checkbox"/> Vernal Pool					
<input type="checkbox"/> Spring/Seep/Headwaters					
<input type="checkbox"/> Other					
<b>Sub-total Waters of the State</b>					
<b>Total Waters of U.S. and State</b>	<i>52,515 cubic yards</i>	<i>16.7 acres</i>			

**WATER QUALITY IMPACT DESCRIPTION**

(Report the nature and extent of temporary and permanent impacts to waters of the U.S. and/or State, such as turbidity, settleable matter, other pollutants, and beneficial uses associated with the proposed project. Attach a map that clearly depicts the anticipated area of direct impact and indirect disturbances)

See Project Description (Appendix A), section 9.1 "Wetlands Impacts," for a detailed discussion of water quality impacts associated with the project. See Figure 5 "Wetland Impacts" in Appendix B for a graphic representation of these impacts.

**AVOIDANCE OF DIRECT IMPACTS** (Attach additional information if necessary)

Describe the efforts to **avoid** and **minimize direct impacts** to waters of the U.S. and State pursuant to Title 40 CFR Part 230 Section 404 (b)(1). See checklist for guidance. Attach additional pages as necessary.

The construction design package will include a complete storm water pollution prevention program (SWPPP) and will incorporate stormwater treatment best management practices (BMP's) to treat and filter runoff pollutants from impervious surfaces for storms up to and including the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile, 24-hour storm event, in accordance with the California Coastal Commission's requirements. Techniques anticipated to be utilized include, vegetated swales, vegetated filter strips, and hydrodynamic vortex separators.

**ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS**

Has an Alternatives Analysis been prepared?  YES  NO If YES, please submit the appropriate documentation

**AVOIDANCE OF INDIRECT IMPACTS** (Attach additional information if necessary)

(1) Describe efforts to **avoid** and **minimize potential indirect impacts** to waters of the U.S. and State which might affect water quality.

The following BMPs are recommended to be implemented during construction:

- Construction would occur primarily during the dry season (May through October) in order to minimize the potential for erosion.
- Prior to the commencement of construction activities, the contractor would develop and implement a SWPPP. The Project would comply with water quality standards established in the Basin Plan (NCRWQCB, 2007) and guidelines set forth in the project-specific SWPPP.
- Earthwork, mulching, and drainage standards would be in accordance with the FAA Aviation Circular 150/5370.10D, Standards for Specifying the Construction of Airports (FAA, 2008) to minimize erosion and sedimentation.
- Erosion control measures such as, but not limited to, silt fences, wattles, and sand bags, would aid in minimizing the effects of erosion, sedimentation, and leakage of vehicle and equipment fluids on surface water quality. This effort would reduce runoff velocities and help to protect nearby water bodies and the coast of the Pacific Ocean from sediment and construction-related pollutants.
- Designated vehicle maintenance and washing areas and proper storage of equipment and vehicle fluids would reduce impacts from leakage of vehicle and equipment fluids on groundwater quality.
- If groundwater is encountered and dewatering is required, water would be disposed of in accordance with NPDES and SWRCB requirements and other federal, state, and local regulations.

(2) Describe the methods proposed for erosion control and re-vegetation proposals, including winterization strategies to stabilize all bare soils.

Sufficient erosion control supplies shall be available on-site or at the contractors yard at all times to deal with areas susceptible to erosion during rain events. Materials could include items such as geofabric, woven coconut fronds, coir rolls, jute netting, industrial erosion control matting, silt fence, straw mulch, native grass seed or other suitable materials.

(3) Submit a map indicating the approximate locations and area of soil, land, and vegetation disturbance and proposed best management practices.

See Figures located in Appendix B

(4) Describe the methods proposed to reduce sources of pollutants such as petroleum hydrocarbons, oil and grease, fertilizers, pesticides, sediment, etc., from entering the water system

No refueling of vehicles and/or equipment will occur on impervious surfaces, nor will it be within 100 feet of a storm drain, drainage ditch, water of the State, or water of the US. Refueling shall be performed within a covered area, if performed during a rain event. Any spill of hazardous material will be contained, if it is safe to do so. Secondary containments shall be used for hazardous liquids. Secondary containments shall have a capacity of approximately 110 percent of the size of the container being stored in the secondary containment. Waddles, or other barrier, shall be established around drains at the project construction site.



**MITIGATION INFORMATION** (Pursuant to Executive Order W-59-93, the wetlands “No Net Loss Policy”, the Regional Water Board requires a mitigation plan for all temporary and permanent impacts to wetlands. Mitigation is required when permanent and temporary impacts to Wetlands occur. Address all project impacts in the Dredge and Fill Table and describe the applicable mitigation. Provide the location, size, type, functions, and values of the proposed mitigation. Describe success criteria, monitoring, long-term funding, management, and site protection instrument for the mitigation site. Attach Mitigation Bank Bills-of-Sale for purchase credits if needed. For guidance on a complete mitigation plan see Attachment B- Stream and Riparian Area Mitigation Checklist and Attachment C - Wetland Mitigation Checklist. If application check lists are not completed or incorporated into the mitigation plans the application may be deemed incomplete or denied.

**Does the project impact wetlands?**  NO  YES

(If yes complete mitigation information table Option 1 and/or Option 2, and attach mitigation plan or bank credit bill of sale).

**Does the project impact waters of the State?**  NO  YES

(If yes complete mitigation information table Option 1 and/or Option 2, and attach mitigation plan)

**MITIGATION SUMMARY** (Provide brief summary of mitigation proposal, references attached documents, sections, page numbers, etc.)

Mitigation Site Location(s):

Pacific Shores Subdivision (PSS)

Mitigation Site Lat/Long(s):

Lat: 41.8610, Lon: -124.2042

Name of Watershed & Hydrologic Unit:

Smith River Plain Hydrologic Subarea, near Lake Tolowa and Lake Earl (HU: 130.11)

Mitigation Site City and County:

Crescent City, Del Norte County

Mitigation Project Summary:

Wetland mitigation will be undertaken in association with wetland impacts at the Crescent City Airport RSA Project. Pacific Shores Subdivision (PSS) is a 1960's unfinished planned community development project, consisting of an interconnected network of paved roadways. PSS is located at the northern peninsula of Lake Tolowa and Lake Earl, contiguous to the Pacific Ocean coastline, approximately five miles north of Crescent City, California. No permanent residential or other built-structures are associated with PSS.

The planned mitigation will consist of the removal of existing paved roadways to increase habitat and connectivity between existing emergent and forested wetlands, dunes and environmentally sensitive habitats in the complex landscape matrix to offset impacts that result from the RSA Project. After each road is removed, the area of road removal will be graded to match the surrounding topography. Wetland impacts will be mitigated at a 1.4:1 ratio (23.1 acres of creation and 16.77 acres of fill).

The Point Saint George coastal headlands (approximately 339 acres) are owned by the County. This property was previously held in private ownership and used for grazing as part of a ranching operation. To compensate for impacts to lower quality wetlands located within already existing managed portions of the airport, and to provide compensation for the absence of feasible onsite wetland mitigation options, the Applicant proposes utilizing an adjacent area for wetland enhancement (i.e., increase value of existing wetlands). West of the existing fence line that borders CEC and Point Saint George there is an opportunity to enhance three (3) existing federally endangered western lily populations that are currently impacted by deer grazing and encroachment of coastal shrub/scrub over story species. Western lily plants will not be directly impacted from the proposed project although suitable habitat will be impacted through removal. Therefore, this possible component of the mitigation strategy is not for replacement of individual plants, and is proposed to take advantage of an immediate opportunity for improvements to wetlands adjacent to the project site, to fence and protect approximately 33 acres and re-establish approximately 14 acres of good quality early seral stage emergent wetland habitat. No excavation expansion of wetlands area or changes in wetlands hydrologic regime is proposed.

Option 1 - Proponent Provided Mitigation Information								
Waterbody Type	Acres / Linear Feet Established		Acres / Linear Feet Restored		Acres / Linear Feet Enhanced		Acres / Linear Feet Preserved	
Wetland			23.1					
Stream								
Riparian								
Vernal Pool								
Lake								
Other								
Option 2 - Mitigation Bank Credits								
Waterbody Type	Acres / Linear Feet Established		Acres / Linear Feet Restored		Acres / Linear Feet Enhanced		Acres / Linear Feet Preserved	
Wetland								
Stream								
Riparian								
Vernal Pool								
Lake								
Other								
Mitigation Site Name:								
Name of Mitigation Site Operator:								

## SECTION FIVE – Low Impact Development

The State Water Resources Control Board Resolution (SWRCB) No. 2008-0030 “Directs Water Boards’ staff to require sustainable water resources management such as Low Impact Development (LID) and climate change considerations, in all future policies, guidelines, and regulatory actions.” For reference please refer to the SWRCB LID webpage at [http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/low\\_impact\\_development/index.shtml](http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/low_impact_development/index.shtml)  
For LID design goals, tools, and example BMPs see Attachment D – Storm Water and Low Impact Development

### SUB-SECTION (A)

#### DOES THE PROPOSED PROJECT:

- 1) Increase the area of impervious surface?  NO  YES – Total \_\_\_\_\_ (If yes complete sub-section B)
- 2) Replace approximately 5,000 square feet of impervious surface?  NO  YES – Total \_\_\_\_\_ (If yes provide a post-construction storm water treatment BMP feasibility analysis.)
- 3) Discharge to an Area of Special Biological Significance?  NO  YES (If yes complete sub-section B)
- 4) Discharge to a water body listed as impaired on the Clean Water Act 303 (d) list?  NO  YES (If complete to sub-section B)
- 5) Discharge within a watershed with a total daily maximum load (TMDL)?  NO  YES (If yes complete sub-section B)

### SUB-SECTION (B)

#### POST-CONSTRUCTION STORM WATER TREATMENT REQUIREMENT

Provide a summary for staff review of the methods proposed to treat and retain storm water runoff volume from the project site prior to entering the storm drainage system and/or waters of the State. Attach detailed responses to the question below and design information.

- 1) Include proper design calculations to indicate that the proposed methods will treat runoff from the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile/24-hour storm event, or one-inch of rainfall/24-hours, or
- 2) Use the City of Santa Rosa Storm Water Calculator, design criteria, and approved BMPs at [www.srcity.org/stormwaterLID](http://www.srcity.org/stormwaterLID).
- 3) Provide maps that illustrate the project drainage and overall design details of the appropriate storm water treatment BMPs.
- 4) Provide the dimensions of the BMPs selected (slopes, width, length, depth) and specific calculations for velocity, volume treated, residence time, depth of flow, etc.
- 5) Provide information on the soil type underlining the treatment BMP and the vegetation to be used in the BMP.
- 6) Provide the BMP maintenance plan.

Treatment BMP Summary:

A SWPPP is currently being developed for the project site that will apply BMPs to activities associated with the project. The SWPPP will address project compliance with the above-denoted water treatment requirements.

### SUB-SECTION (C)

#### HYDROMODIFICATION IMPACTS (Changes in the land use can alter the natural hydrograph.)

- 1) Does the proposed project result in an increase of impervious surface of one acre or more?  
 NO  YES – Total Area \_\_\_\_\_ (if yes continue to question 2, and explain below)
- 2) Does the post-project hydrograph exceed the pre-project hydrograph by 10 percent or more for, for the 2-year 24/hour storm event:
  - Volume, and/or
  - Time of concentration? YES  NO (If no the project may require LID features which correct the hydrograph, or require additional mitigation for impacts to waters of the State)

## SECTION SIX – Waste Disposal

Pursuant to California Water Code 13260 and California Code of Regulations Title 27, which regulate land disposal activities, the Regional Water Board requires proof that placing non-hazardous waste or inert materials (which may

include discarded product or recycled materials) will not result in degradation of water quality, human health or the environment. Degradation of water quality can be defined in terms of beneficial uses and/or in terms of numerical or narrative limits adopted to protect those uses.

**DESCRIBE THE TYPE OF WASTE GENERATED BY THE PROPOSED PROJECT** (such as dredge spoils, excess soil, construction and demolition debris, excess slurries, grindings, concrete contact water, etc.)

Construction related to the project would generally be limited to cut, fill and grading activities. Any trash/debris generated by construction contractors will be minimal and would be removed by an appropriate waste transporter to a permitted landfill authorized to accept the type of waste generated.

**PROPOSED WASTE DISPOSAL** (Describe the methods proposed to handle and dispose non-hazardous and hazardous materials, or present plan to reincorporate or recycle excess materials)

Construction related to the project would generally be limited to cut, fill and grading activities. Any trash/debris generated by construction contractors will be minimal and would be removed by an appropriate waste transporter to a permitted landfill authorized to accept the type of waste generated.

### **SECTION SIX – Application Signature**

Application is hereby made for a permit or permits to authorize the work described in this application. I certify, under penalty of perjury, that this application is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I further certify that I possess the authority to undertake the work described herein or am acting as the duly authorized agent of the applicant. In addition, I certify property owner responsibility and liability for compliance with permit conditions issued for this project for compliance with any future authorization or amendments thereto.

Jim Bernard, Airport Director, Border Coast Regional Airport Authority

PRINT NAME AND TITLE OF APPLICANT (OR AGENT)



SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (OR AGENT)

2/21/2013

DATE

Del Norte County

PRINT NAME AND TITLE OF LANDOWNER (OR AGENT)

SIGNATURE OF CONSTRUCTION OVERSIGHT MANAGER (OR AGENT)

DATE

# **Attachment A - Project Plan Checklist**

A detailed project plan is required with every application. Clarification of information may be requested by Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Water Board) staff during application review. This checklist is provided to aid applicants in providing a thorough project plan. Not all items on the checklist apply to each and every project, rather they are to be used as general guidelines for required information to be included. In addition, there may be items not covered on this checklist that may be requested on a project by project basis.

## **Project Description**

- ✓ Project Description
- ✓ Summary of overall project area (i.e., housing subdivision, highway widening)
  - Size and description of project area; type(s) of receiving water body(ies); brief list/description of applicant's previous and future projects related to the proposed activity or that may impact the same receiving water body(ies)
- ✓ Responsible Parties
  - Names and phone numbers of anyone participating in the project
- ✓ Jurisdictional Waters to be impacted
  - Include a detailed site plan clearly indicating proposed impacts and mitigation site areas, including acreages
- ✓ Type(s) of water body, flow duration (i.e. intermittent/perennial), inundation period, functions and values
- ✓ Location and size of project area
- ✓ Include site map and regional map of project location
- ✓ Species present within project site and/or upstream/downstream
- ✓ Threatened or endangered species present
- ✓ Existing functions, values, and condition of resources
  - Physical, hydrologic, and biological attributes, substrate composition and condition, complexity, effective shade, canopy cover,
- ✓ Current conditions at the site (mostly natural, degraded, heavily impacted)
- ✓ Construction methods to be used
- ✓ Adverse impacts
  - Include whether the adverse impacts will be temporary or permanent, and include amount of area to be affected (acres or linear feet)
- ✓ Schedule of construction activities
  - Include start and end dates for proposed activities
- ✓ Stockpile summary
  - Include amount of stockpile and proposed areas for storage
- ✓ Best management practices
  - Practices to be implemented to reduce potential water quality impacts during and after construction activities, aside from proposed mitigation activities
- Site dewatering
- Solid waste disposal for dredged or excess construction/demolition materials
- Mitigation and monitoring plans (refer to Stream, Riparian, and Wetland Mitigation Checklists)

# **Attachment B - Stream and Riparian Mitigation Checklist**

If it is determined that a watercourse (intermittent and/or perennial) or vegetation within the riparian area will be affected by the proposed project, mitigation will likely be necessary to preserve the function and beneficial uses of the site. Clarification of information may be requested by Regional Water Board staff during application review. This checklist is intended to aid applicants in submitting complete and proper information regarding mitigation plans, to enable staff to effectively evaluate the project for Water Quality Certification or Waste Discharge Requirements. Not all items on the checklist apply to each and every project, rather they are to be used as general guidelines for needed information to be included. In addition, there may be items not covered on this checklist that may be requested on a project by project basis.

## **1) Goals of Mitigation**

- Variety of habitats to be created/restored
  - Pools, rearing sites, spawning sites, riparian habitat, etc.
- Functions and values of habitat to be created
  - Wetted channel width, pool/riffle ratio, mean/maximum depths, complexity, substrate composition, effective shade, canopy cover, large woody debris recruitment, etc.
- Other mitigation steps taken
  - Avoid, minimize, compensate
- Functions and values of the created/restored habitat
  - Wildlife habitat, streambank stabilization through riparian habitat establishment, water quality improvement, etc.
- Schedule for mitigation implementation, monitoring and reporting
- Work plan
  - Project start date; length mitigation activities will take place; specific work to be done at particular times, area of stream-channel profile receiving mitigation

## **2) Proposed Mitigation Site**

- Location and size of mitigation area
- Include site map and regional map of mitigation project
- Existing functions and values
- Current conditions at the site (mostly natural, degraded, heavily impacted)
- If the site is degraded, explain past uses and land stressors leading to degradation
- Present and proposed uses of mitigation area
  - Provide habitat for flora/fauna (plants/animals), recreation, open space, etc.
- Current uses of the area
  - Agriculture, development, recreation, open space, etc.

## **3) Implementation Plan**

- Responsible Parties
- Rationale for expecting success
- Site Preparation Plan
- Planting Plan
  - Dates of proposed plantings, native species to be planted, density of plantings, etc.
- Irrigation Plan (if applicable)

## **4) Maintenance During Monitoring Period**

- Responsible Parties
- Maintenance activities
- Names and phone numbers of anyone performing maintenance activities at or near the site
- Schedule

#### 5) Monitoring Plan

- Responsible Parties
- Names and phone numbers of individuals/contractors performing monitoring duties
- Performance Criteria
  - Physical, hydrologic, and biotic attributes, plant survival, plant health, percent native and/or invasive, increase in percent effective shade, substrate composition and/or condition,
- How will success be judged?
  - Increase in pool depths, decreased erosion rates, establishment of riparian species, recruitment of flora and fauna, increased pool/riffle ratio, increased shade, decreased water temperatures, increased water quality, increase in biotic diversity or structure, hydrologic improvements, and/or improvements in physical structure condition, etc.
- Is there a reference site?
  - If a reference site is incorporated in the plan, include where it is located and what the current conditions are (see performance criteria above)
- Monitoring methods
  - Describe in detail how the site will be monitored
- Reports
  - Detail a reporting program and schedule
- Schedule
  - How often will the site be monitored? How long will the site be monitored?

#### 6) Completion of Mitigation

- Notice of completion (i.e. agencies to be contacted)
- Regional Board confirmation

#### 7) Final Success Criteria

- Target functions and values achieved
  - Ultimate target functions and values or condition of the mitigation (i.e. wetted channel width, pool/riffle ratio, complexity, canopy cover, effective shade, flora/fauna recruitment, physical structure, biotic structure, hydrology, etc.)
- Target hydrologic scheme achieved
  - Wetted width, bankfull width, mean/maximum depths, flow regime, etc.
- What are the ultimate hydrologic conditions for the site?
  - Based on conditions prior to any degradation or human impacts (best case scenario)
- Target jurisdictional acreage created/restored
- Total acres restored or created through mitigation project
- Establishment of native riparian species
  - Based on monitoring, reviewed after determined number of years



# **Attachment C - Wetland Mitigation Checklist**

**Wetlands should not be disturbed if at all possible.** If it is determined that a wetland will be affected by the proposed development, mitigation will need to be done on at least a 1:1 ratio to preserve the function and values of the wetland and its associated beneficial uses. Clarification of information may be requested by Regional Water Board staff during application review. This checklist is intended to aid applicants in submitting complete and proper information regarding mitigation plans, to enable staff to effectively evaluate the project. Not all of the items on the checklist will apply to each and every project, rather they are to be used as general guidelines for needed information to be included. In addition, there may be items not covered on this checklist that may be requested on a project by project basis.

## **1) Goals of Mitigation**

- ✓ Variety of habitats to be created/restored
  - What type of wetland will be created/restored? (i.e. seasonal, freshwater, saltwater, swale, vernal pool, etc.)
- ✓ Functions and values and/or condition of habitat to be created
  - What are the functions and values and/or of the created/restored wetland? (i.e. wildlife habitat, native plant communities, increased water quality, physical structure, biotic structure, etc.)
- ✓ Other mitigation steps taken: **avoid**, minimize, compensate
- ✓ Time schedule for mitigation
- ✓ Work plan
  - Project start date; length mitigation activities will take place; specific work (exotic species removal, native species plantings, etc.) to be conducted during particular times of the year

## **2) Proposed Mitigation Site**

- ✓ Location and size of mitigation area
- ✓ Include site map and regional map of mitigation project
- ✓ Existing functions and values
  - What are the functions and values and/or of the created/restored wetland? (i.e. wildlife habitat, native plant communities, increased water quality, physical structure, biotic structure, etc
  - Include a copy of delineation report of mitigation site
- ✓ Current conditions at the site (mostly natural, degraded, heavily impacted)
- If the site is degraded explain past uses and current land stressors leading to degradation
- ✓ Present and proposed uses of mitigation area
  - Provide habitat for flora/fauna, recreation, open space, etc.
- ✓ Current uses of the area

## **3) Implementation Plan**

- ✓ Responsible Parties
- ✓ Rationale for expecting success
- Site Preparation Plan
- ✓ Planting Plan
  - Dates of proposed plantings, native species to be planted, density of plantings, etc.
- Irrigation Plan (if applicable)

#### 4) Maintenance During Monitoring Period

- Responsible Parties
- Maintenance activities
- Names and phone numbers of anyone performing maintenance activities at or near the site
- Schedule

#### 5) Monitoring Plan

- Responsible Parties
- Names and phone numbers of individuals/contractors performing monitoring duties
- Performance Criteria
  - Percent native species duration and season of water inundation, hydrology, physical structure, biotic structure, percent native/invasive, etc.
- How will success be judged?
  - Establishment of native flora/fauna, ponding of water during appropriate portion of season, increased water quality, improvement of condition, etc.
- Is there a reference site?
  - If a reference site is incorporated in the plan, include where it is located and what the current conditions are (see performance criteria above)
- Monitoring methods
  - Describe in detail how the site will be monitored
- Reports
  - Detail a reporting program and schedule
- Schedule
  - How often will the site be monitored? How long will the site be monitored?

#### 6) Completion of Mitigation

- Notice of completion (i.e. agencies to be contacted)
- Regional Board confirmation

#### 7) Final Success Criteria

- Target functions and values
  - Ultimate target functions and values and/or condition of the mitigation (i.e. native flora/fauna recruitment, inundation of water during appropriate season, biodiversity, special species habitat)
- Target hydrologic scheme
  - Inundation period of area
- What are the ultimate target conditions for the site?
  - Percent native species duration and season of water inundation, hydrology, physical structure, biotic structure, percent native/invasive, water quality improvement, etc.
- Target jurisdictional acreage to be created/restored
- Total acres restored or created through mitigation project
- Establishment of native wetland species
  - Based on monitoring, reviewed after determined number of years

## **Attachment D - Storm Water and Low Impact Development**

The Regional Water Board requires the use of Low Impact Development (LID) and best management practices (BMPs) that treat and retain (infiltrate, capture, evapotranspire and store) storm water runoff on the project site. If on-site treatment is not feasible, off-site mitigation may be required for projects that result in a net increase of impervious surface.

LID is a development site design strategy with a goal of maintaining or reproducing the pre-development hydrologic system through the use of design techniques to create a functionally equivalent hydrologic setting. LID emphasizes conservation and the use of on-site natural features integrated with engineered, small-scale hydrologic controls to more closely reflect pre-development hydrologic functions. Hydrologic functions of storage, infiltration, and ground water recharge, as well as the volume and frequency of discharges, are maintained through the use of integrated and distributed storm water retention and detention areas, reduction of impervious surfaces, and the lengthening of flow paths and runoff time. LID seeks to mimic the pre-development site hydrology through infiltration, interception, reuse, and evapotranspiration. LID requires that the storm water runoff volume from small storms be retained onsite.

Other LID strategies include the preservation and protection of environmentally sensitive site features such as riparian buffers, wetlands, steep slopes, valuable trees, flood plains, woodlands, native vegetation and permeable soils. Natural vegetation and soil filters storm water runoff and reduces the volume and pollutant loads of storm water runoff. Other benefits from LID implementation include reducing global warming impacts from new development (preserving carbon sequestering in native soils and retaining native vegetation), increasing water supply (by encouraging ground water recharge) and reducing energy consumption.

LID requires the use of landscape-based BMPs that filter storm water runoff using vegetation and amended soil prior to infiltration. Examples of these types of BMPs are rain gardens and vegetated swales. LID BMPs need to be sized to treat the storm water runoff from all impervious surfaces (e.g. roads, roofs, walkways, patios) using the following sizing criteria:

1. The volume of runoff produced from the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile of 24-hour rainfall event, as determined from the local historical rainfall record; or
2. The volume of runoff produced by the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile 24-hour rainfall event, determined using the maximized capture storm water volume for the area, from the formula recommended in Urban Runoff Quality Management, WEF Manual of Practice No. 23/ASCE Manual of Practice No. 87, p. 170-178 (1998); or
3. The volume of annual runoff based on unit basin storage water quality volume, to achieve 80 percent or more volume treatment by the method recommended in California Storm Water Best Management Practices Handbook-Industrial/Commercial (1993).

BMPs to prevent erosion and the release of sediment or hazardous materials during construction activities should be included in the project to prevent sediment and other pollutants reaching surface waters or leaving the site in storm water runoff. These can include scheduling grading to take place during the dry season, identifying staging areas for work vehicles that are separated from sensitive areas, training employees in procedures for cleaning up spills of hazardous materials, and erosion and sediment control techniques.

### **Low Impact Development Resources**

Santa Rosa's Storm Water Program and LID Technical Manual (in development with the North Coast Regional Water Board):

[www.srcity.org/stormwaterpermit](http://www.srcity.org/stormwaterpermit), [www.srcity.org/stormwaterLID](http://www.srcity.org/stormwaterLID)

Low Impact Development Center: <http://www.lowimpactdevelopment.org/>

Puget Sound LID manual: [http://www.psp.wa.gov/downloads/LID/LID\\_manual2005.pdf](http://www.psp.wa.gov/downloads/LID/LID_manual2005.pdf)

Green Infrastructure Municipal Handbooks:

<http://cfpub2.epa.gov/npdes/greeninfrastructure/munichandbook.cfm>

Oregon Rain Garden Guide, landscaping for clean water and healthy streams:

<http://seagrant.oregonstate.edu/sgpubs/onlinepubs/h10001.pdf>

Pennsylvania Stormwater BMP Manual: <http://www.blairconservationdistrict.org/SWBMP.htm#pa%20manual>

San Diego County's LID manual – LID for roads: <http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/dplu/docs/LID-Handbook.pdf>

Low Impact Development – Sustainable Storm Water Management:

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/low\\_impact\\_development/](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/low_impact_development/)

EPA Green Infrastructure Basic Information:

<http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/greeninfrastructure/information.cfm>

Managing Wet Weather with Green Infrastructure:

[http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/home.cfm?program\\_id=298](http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/home.cfm?program_id=298)

Contra Costa approach powerpoint to implement LID:

<http://www.cccleanwater.org/Publications/StormCon-5-06/5-ContraCostaApproach-I-Dalziel-Cloak.ppt>

Portland Stormwater Management Manual: <http://www.portlandonline.com/bes/index.cfm?c=47952>

City of Portland's Sustainable Storm Water Management Program – LID for streets:

<http://www.portlandonline.com/bes/index.cfm?c=34598>

Streetscape improvements and water quality design: <http://www.lowimpactdevelopment.org/nhb/lid.htm>

LID Urban Design tools – design software for different BMPs: <http://www.lid-stormwater.net/homedesign.htm>

LID design fact sheet: <http://www.coastal.ca.gov/nps/lid-factsheet.pdf>

Storm Water Runoff Calculator: <http://www.stormulator.com>

Storm Water Management and LID at EPA headquarters – BMP choice and design:

[http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps/lid/stormwater\\_hq/](http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps/lid/stormwater_hq/)

Governor's Office of Planning and Research Technical Advisory using LID to protect water quality through CEQA review: [http://www.opr.ca.gov/ceqa/pdfs/Technical\\_Advisory\\_LID.pdf](http://www.opr.ca.gov/ceqa/pdfs/Technical_Advisory_LID.pdf)

State Water Board Resolution on LID and Sustainable Water Resources Management:

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board\\_decisions/adopted\\_orders/resolutions/2008/rs2008\\_0030.pdf](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board_decisions/adopted_orders/resolutions/2008/rs2008_0030.pdf)

Resolution of the California Ocean Protection Council Regarding Low Impact Development:

[http://www.resources.ca.gov/copc/05-15-08\\_meeting/05\\_LID/0805COPC05\\_%20LID%20Res%20amended.pdf](http://www.resources.ca.gov/copc/05-15-08_meeting/05_LID/0805COPC05_%20LID%20Res%20amended.pdf)

### **Storm Water Resources:**

North Coast Regional Water Board Municipal Storm Water Program:

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/water\\_issues/hot\\_topics/santa\\_rosa\\_ms4\\_npdes\\_stormwater\\_permit/](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/water_issues/hot_topics/santa_rosa_ms4_npdes_stormwater_permit/)

State Water Board Storm Water Program: [http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/stormwater/](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/stormwater/)

California Stormwater Quality Association: <http://www.casqa.org/>

EPA Storm Water Program: [http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/home.cfm?program\\_id=6](http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/home.cfm?program_id=6)

Erase the Waste Campaign – California Storm Water Toolbox (outreach materials for permittees and non-profits):  
[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/outreach/erase\\_waste/](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/outreach/erase_waste/)

The San Francisco Regional Water Board Storm Water Resources Website:  
[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/water\\_issues/programs/stormwater/avail\\_docs.shtml](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/water_issues/programs/stormwater/avail_docs.shtml)

State Water Board Storm Water Grant Program:  
[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/grants\\_loans/prop84/index.shtml](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/grants_loans/prop84/index.shtml)

Federal Funding Sources for Watershed Protection: <http://cfpub.epa.gov/fedfund/>

Stormwater Manager's Resource Center: <http://www.stormwatercenter.net/>

For more information, please contact Mona Dougherty at [mdougherty@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:mdougherty@waterboards.ca.gov)  
Updated: August 26, 2010





# Appendices







Appendix A  
**PROJECT DESCRIPTION**





## Appendix B

# FIGURES





Appendix C  
WETLAND DELINEATION





Appendix D

ROADWAY SEGMENT SELECTION  
METHODOLOGY FOR WETLAND AND  
HABITAT MITIGATION AT PACIFIC  
SHORES SUBDIVISION FOR PROPOSED  
RUNWAY SAFETY AREA (RSA)  
IMPROVEMENT PROJECT







Appendix E

# WETLAND MITIGATION AND MONITORING PLAN







GHD Inc.

718 Third Street

Eureka, CA 95501 USA

**T:** 1 707 443 8326    **F:** 707 444 8330    **E:** eureka@ghd.com

© **GHD Inc. 2013**

This document is and shall remain the property of GHD. The document may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Unauthorized use of this document in any form whatsoever is prohibited.